

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**PRINCEWILL EZEBUIHE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:07cv75  
(Judge Stamp)**

**UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, et. al.,**

**Defendants.**

**ROSEBORO NOTICE**

On January 4, 2008, the defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss in response to the plaintiff's complaint. The Court notes that the plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The Court has a mandatory duty to advise the plaintiff of his right to file responsive material, and to alert him to the fact that his failure to so respond might result in the entry of an order of dismissal against him. Davis v. Zahradrich, 600 F.2d 458, 460 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1979); Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309, 310 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1975). The plaintiff is so advised.

Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Order, the plaintiff shall file any opposition explaining why his case should not be dismissed. The plaintiff is advised that he must serve the respondent with any response he files.

**IT IS SO ORDERED**

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to the *pro se* plaintiff by certified mail, return receipt requested, to his last known address as shown on the docket sheet. The Clerk of the Court is further directed to provide a copy of this Order to all counsel of record, as applicable, as provided in the Administrative Procedures for Electronic Filing in the United States District Court.

DATED: January 7, 2008.

/s/ James E. Seibert  
JAMES E. SEIBERT  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE